

Journal of Technomaterial Physics Journal homepage: <u>https://talenta.usu.ac.id/JoTP</u>



# **Comparative Analysis of Gasoline and Liquefied Petroleum Gas** (LPG) on Motorcycle Engine Performance

Octo Muhammad Yamin<sup>1</sup>, Devi Maiya Sari Nasution<sup>2</sup>, Zikri Noer<sup>3</sup>, Hariyati Lubis<sup>2,4</sup>, Tengku Machdhalie Sofie<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Amir Hamzah, Deli Serdang, 20219, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Amir Hamzah, Deli Serdang, 20219, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Vocational, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, 20155, Indonesia <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, 20155, Indonesia <sup>5</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Amir Hamzah, Deli Serdang

<sup>5</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Amir Hamzah, Deli Serdang, 20219, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author: octomhdyamin@gmail.com

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 02 August 2024 Revised 17 August 2024 Accepted 24 August 2024 Available online 30 August 2024

E-ISSN: 2656-0755 P-ISSN: 2656-0747

#### How to cite:

O. M. Yamin, D. M. S. Nasution, Z. Noer, H. Lubis, and T. M. Sofie, " Comparative Analysis of Gasoline and Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) on Motorcycle Engine Performance," Journal of Technomaterial Physics, vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 127-131, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.32734/jotp.v6i2.18270.



# ABSTRACT

This research aims to determine the efficiency of LPG fuel performance compared to gasoline in motorcycle engines. The research method involves a brake dynamometer test with engine speed variations of 2000 rpm, 2200 rpm, and 2500 rpm. Based on the results obtained, the exhaust gas temperature (°C) at an engine speed of 2000 rpm with gasoline is 148°C and 146°C, while with LPG, it is 107°C and 108°C. The fuel consumption rate (cc/min) at 2000 rpm is 15.8 cc/min, 16.2 cc/min with gasoline, and 9.36 cc/min with LPG. At 2200 rpm, the fuel consumption is 16.2 cc/min, 22.8 cc/min with gasoline, and 10.48 cc/min with LPG. At 2500 rpm, it is 20.2 cc/min, 19.4 cc/min with gasoline, and 14.40 cc/min with LPG. In terms of fuel consumption savings, using LPG as a fuel can significantly reduce fuel usage.

Keywords: Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG), Gasoline, Motorcycle Engine Performance, Brake Dynamometer Test, Fuel Consumption Efficiency.

# ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui efisiensi kinerja bahan bakar LPG dibandingkan bensin pada mesin sepeda motor. Metode penelitian melibatkan pengujian dengan menggunakan dynamometer rem dengan variasi kecepatan mesin 2000 rpm, 2200 rpm, dan 2500 rpm. Berdasarkan hasil yang diperoleh, suhu gas buang (°C) pada kecepatan mesin 2000 rpm dengan bahan bakar bensin adalah 148°C dan 146°C, sedangkan dengan LPG adalah 107°C dan 108°C. Laju konsumsi bahan bakar (cc/menit) pada 2000 rpm adalah 15,8 cc/menit dan 16,2 cc/menit dengan bensin, serta 9,36 cc/menit dengan LPG. Pada 2200 rpm, konsumsi bahan bakar adalah 16,2 cc/menit dan 22,8 cc/menit dengan bakar bensin, serta 10,48 cc/menit dengan LPG. Pada 2500 rpm, konsumsi bahan bakar adalah 20,2 cc/menit dan 19,4 cc/menit dengan bahan bakar, penggunaan LPG sebagai bahan bakar dapat secara signifikan mengurangi penggunaan bahan bakar.

Kata Kunci: Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG), Bensin, Kinerja Mesin Sepeda Motor, Uji Dynamometer Rem, Efisiensi Konsumsi Bahan Bakar.

## 1. Introduction

Alternative fuel usage is becoming increasingly popular due to rising prices of liquid fuels derived from crude oil and growing attention to environmental issues. There are two main reasons for the use of alternative fuels in the transportation sector. First, it will reduce dependence on traditional fossil fuels, and second, it will lower engine emissions. The urgency of this research lies in the performance of internal combustion engines that still use fossil fuels, which significantly impact air pollution. Alternative fuels include compressed natural gas, liquid petroleum gas, hydrogen, and alcohol fuels (methanol and ethanol). Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) is considered a clean fuel and is therefore widely used as an alternative fuel in internal combustion engines due to its ease of liquefaction at relatively low pressures and lower fuel costs.

The composition of LPG mainly consists of propane, n-butane, isobutane, ethane, propene, and butene, with small amounts of methane and other C4-C5 hydrocarbons. LPG is generally extracted from natural gas flows from oil and gas fields or obtained as a byproduct of crude oil refining at refineries (primarily responsible for olefins in LPG fuel). The proportions of species in LPG can vary significantly depending on the extraction region, season, and processing methods. Its composition differs from country to country when used as an automotive fuel, with propane concentrations ranging from nearly 100% to as low as 50% [9].

This research focuses on the use of alternative fuels, which are becoming increasingly popular due to rising prices of liquid fuels derived from crude oil and growing environmental concerns. There are two main reasons for the use of alternative fuels in the transportation sector: first, to reduce dependence on traditional fossil fuels, and second, to decrease engine emissions. Various alternative fuels suitable for gasoline engines can be categorized as industrial gasoline, alcohol, and gas. Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) also has advantages over gasoline engines because it can operate with a lean mixture, which helps improve fuel savings and reduce CO and hydrocarbon emissions.

The general structural characteristics of LPG engines are essentially similar to gasoline engines, differing only in the fuel supply system. It has been shown that LPG engines provide better fuel savings than gasoline engines due to lower fuel consumption levels. LPG has a low carbon/hydrogen ratio, is highly pure, non-toxic, non-corrosive, and free from aromatic hydrocarbons. LPG is produced as a byproduct of natural gas and crude oil refineries. The composition of LPG is approximately 70% propane and 30% butane. Emissions from gasoline and LPG engines can be measured using exhaust gas analyzers that assess CO<sub>2</sub>, HC, O<sub>2</sub>, and CO emissions.

#### 2. Methods

The research procedure includes installing a converter kit, which converts gasoline fuel to LPG fuel for motorcycle engines. A high-pressure regulator installed on the LPG tank directs gas to the evaporator. The motorcycle is tested using gasoline through exhaust gas temperature, engine surface temperature, and fuel consumption measurements. The materials and tools used in this research include a stopwatch, a 3 kg LPG cylinder, a dynamometer, a thermometer, a measuring cup, a scale, a converter kit, an automotive emission analyzer, and RPM testing equipment.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Based on the results obtained, the surface temperatures of the engine (°C) using gasoline fuel and LPG at 2000 rpm were: gasoline 117 °C, 120 °C; LPG 87 °C, 92 °C. At 2200 rpm, the temperatures were: gasoline 125 °C, 127 °C; LPG 95 °C, 97 °C. At 2500 rpm, the temperatures were: gasoline 132 °C, 134 °C; LPG 99 °C, 98 °C (Table 1), with the difference in surface temperature (°C) given in Table 2.

|           | at       | engine speed | <u>s of 2000, 2200, 3</u><br>RPM Obse |     | VI.      |     |
|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|
| Treatment | 2000 rpm |              | 2200 rpm                              |     | 2500 rpm |     |
|           | Gasoline | LPG          | Gasoline                              | LPG | Gasoline | LPG |
| 1         | 117      | 87           | 125                                   | 95  | 132      | 99  |
| 2         | 120      | 92           | 127                                   | 97  | 134      | 98  |

Table 1. The surface temperature of the engine (°C) using gasoline and LPG at engine speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM

| at engine speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM. |                                  |          |          |  |  |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| En al Tama                                    | Difference in engine temperature |          |          |  |  |
| Fuel Type —                                   | 2000 rpm                         | 2200 rpm | 2500 rpm |  |  |
| Gasoline                                      | 30                               | 30       | 33       |  |  |
| LPG   | 18                               | 30       | 36       |  |  |

| Table 2. The difference in surface temperature (°C) using gasoline and LPG |
|--|
| at engine speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM.                              |

Furthermore, as can be seen from Table 3, The exhaust gas temperatures (°C) using gasoline and LPG at 2000 rpm were: gasoline 148 °C, 146 °C; LPG 107 °C, 108 °C, with the difference given in Table 4.

Table 3. Exhaust gas temperature (°C) using gasoline and LPG at 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM engine

|           |          |     | speeus.  |          |          |     |
|-----------|----------|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----|
|           |          |     | RPM Obse | ervation |          |     |
| Treatment | 2000 rpm |     | 2200 rpm |          | 2500 rpm |     |
| -         | Gasoline | LPG | Gasoline | LPG      | Gasoline | LPG |
| 1         | 148      | 107 | 158      | 107      | 168      | 114 |
| 2         | 146      | 108 | 155      | 109      | 170      | 110 |

Table 4. Difference in Exhaust Gas Temperature (°C) Using Gasoline and LPG at Engine Speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM.

| Exal Trees  | Difference In Engine Temperature |          |          |  |
|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Fuel Type — | 2000 rpm                         | 2200 rpm | 2500 rpm |  |
| Gasoline    | 41                               | 51       | 54       |  |
| LPG         | 38                               | 46       | 60       |  |

Table 5 shows the engine's fuel consumption (FC) (cc/min) when using gasoline and LPG at 2000 rpm was: gasoline 15.8 cc/min, 16.2 cc/min; LPG 9.36 cc/min. At 2200 rpm, the values were: gasoline 16.2 cc/min, 22.8 cc/min; LPG 10.48 cc/min. At 2500 rpm, they were: gasoline 20.2 cc/min, 19.4 cc/min; LPG 14.40 cc/min. The difference in fuel consumption rate is given in Table 6.

Table 5. Fuel Consumption Rate (cc/min) of the engine using gasoline gasoline and LPGat engine speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM.

| _         |          |      | RPM Obse | ervation |          |       |
|-----------|----------|------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Treatment | 2000 rpm |      | 2200 rpm |          | 2500 rpm |       |
| -         | Gasoline | LPG  | Gasoline | LPG      | Gasoline | LPG   |
| 1         | 15.8     | 9.36 | 16.2     | 10.48    | 20.2     | 14.40 |
| 2         | 16.2     | 9.36 | 22.8     | 1.48     | 19.4     | 14.40 |

Table 6. Difference in Fuel Consumption Rate (cc/min) of the Engine Using Gasoline Gasoline and LPG at Engine Speeds of 2000, 2200, and 2500 RPM.

| En al Tama  | ]        | Difference In Engine Temperatur | re       |
|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Fuel Type — | 2000 rpm | 2200 rpm                        | 2500 rpm |
| Gasoline    | 6.44     | 5.72                            | 5.8      |
| LPG         | 6.84     | 12.32                           | 5        |

From these results, it can be concluded that the use of LPG fuel can reduce the surface temperature of the engine and exhaust gas temperature compared to engines operating on gasoline fuel. The lower engine temperatures when using LPG are due to the high octane content of LPG, which is 112, while gasoline gasoline in Indonesia generally has an octane rating of 85-90. When the air-LPG mixture occurs, the air-fuel ratio becomes lean, meaning the stoichiometric ratio is one part fuel to 15 parts air, resulting in hotter combustion gases. This leads to lower engine and exhaust gas temperatures than gasoline fuel combustion reactions. Lower exhaust emissions, maintained engine conditions, and longer service life are also observed.

# 4. Conclusion

The comparison of gasoline fuel and LPG usage technically reduces engine surface temperature, exhaust gas temperature, and fuel consumption in the engine. In terms of fuel savings, the use of LPG as fuel can reduce

fuel consumption. The difference in fuel consumption rate (cc/min) of the engine when using gasoline fuel is as follows: at an engine speed of 2000 RPM, the fuel consumption for gasoline fuel is 15.8 cc/min and 16.2 cc/min, while for LPG it is 9.36 cc/min. At an engine speed of 2200 RPM, the fuel consumption for gasoline fuel is 16.2 cc/min and 22.8 cc/min, while for LPG, it is 10.48 cc/min. At an engine speed of 2500 RPM, the fuel consumption for gasoline fuel is 20.2 cc/min and 19.4 cc/min, while for LPG, it is 14.40 cc/min.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Direktorat Riset, Teknologi, dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat, Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset, dan Teknologi, Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia for Fiscal 2024 for providing funding in the future entire completion of this research with contract number103/E5/PG.02.00.PL/2024, dated 11 June 2024, 022/LL1/AL.04.03/2024, Nomor 012/LPPM-Unham/VI/2024 dated June 20, 2024; June 21,2024.

# References

- S. Moka, M.Pande, M.Rani, R.Gakhar, M. Sharma, J.Rani, A.N.Bhaskarwar," Alternative fuels: an overview of current trends and scope for future", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol 32, pp.697-712, 2014.
- [2] L.Xiaoyan, Z.Xudong, W.Yang, L.Daming, T.Zhi, "The knock study of high Compression ratio SI engine fueled with methanol in combination with different EGR". *Fuel*, vol 257 pp.116098, December 2019.
- [3] Raslavičius, L. et al., "Liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) as a medium-term option in the transition to sustainable fuels and transport", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 32, pp. 513-525, 2014.
- [4] K. Fethi, B. Jihad, F.Aamir, "Ignition delay time correlation of fuel blends based\_on Livengood-Wu description". *Fuel.*; vol 209, pp. 776-786, December 2017.
- [5] D.Nguyen, J.W.G. Turner, "Effect of nitric oxide on the performance and emissions of a hydrogenfueled HCCI engine". *Fuel.* Vol. 349 (6), pp.128611, Sep 2023.
- [6] L. Bin, C. Lei, Z. Meng, H. Yongcheng, W.Jinhua, L. Yongzheng, M. Fanhua, H. Zuohua, "Effects of chamber geometry, hydrogen ratio and EGR ratio on the combustion process and knocking characters of a HCNG engine at the stoichiometric condition", *Applications in Energy and Combustion* Science, vol. 15, 100189, September 2023.
- [7] J.L.S. Fagundez, R.L. Sari, A.Garcia, F.M. Pereira, M. E. S. Martins, N. P. G. Salau, "A chemical kinetics based investigation on laminar burning velocity and knock occurrence in a spark-ignition engine fueled with ethanol-water blends", *Fuel*, vol. 280, Nov 2020
- [8] S. Choi, C.P.Kolodziej, T. Wallner, "Development and validation of a three pressure analysis (TPA) GT-Power model of the CFR F1 / F2 engine for estimating cylinder conditions", *SAE Technical*, vol. 85, pp.1-8, 2018.
- [9] R. Ajoy, P. Snehasish, F. Yann, C. Henry, Heufer, K. Alexander, "A chemical kinetic perspective on the low-temperature oxidation of propane/propene mixtures through experiments and kinetic analyses", *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 223, pp. 361-375, January 2021.
- [10] M. Kai, F. T. Mun, B. Michael, D. S. Gabriel, Y. Yi, D. Frederick, "The Research and Motor Octane Numbers of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG)", *FueL*, vol. 108, pp. 797-811, June 2013.
- [11] W. Haiqiao, F. Dengquan, P. Jiaying, S. Aifang, P. Mingzhang, "Knock characteristics of SI engine fueled with n-butanol in combination with different EGR rate", *Energy*, vol. 118, pp. 190-196, January 2017.
- [12] C. Bae, J. Kim, "Alternative Fuels For Internal Combustion Engines", Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, vol. 36, Issue. 3, pp. 3389-3413, 2017.
- [13] R, I. Kersys, A. Muckus, S. Kersiene, N. Starevicius, Martynas, "Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) As A Medium-Term Option In The Transition To Sustainable Fuels And Transport. "Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews", vol.32, pp. 513-525, 2014.
- [14] N. T. Tuan, N. P. Dong, "Improving Performance And Reducing Emissions From A Gasoline And Liquefied Petroleum Gas Bi-Fuel System Based On A Motorcycle Engine Fuel Injection System", *Energy for Sustainable Development*, vol. 67, pp. 93-101, April 2022.
- [15] Z. D. Ristovski, E. R. Jayaratne, L. Morawska, G.A. Ayoko, M. Lim, "Particle And Carbon Dioxide Emissions From Passenger Vehicles Operating On Unleaded Petrol And LPG Fuel", *Sci. Total Environ*. Vol. 345, pp. 93-98, Dec 2004.

- [16] H. B. Bisen, Y. R. Suple, "Experimental Investigations of exhaust emissions of four stroke SI Engine by using direct injection of LPG and its analysis", InTERNATIONAL Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), vol. 3, issue 5, pp. 2600-2605, 2018.
- [17] M. Ehsan, S. M. Z. Rahman, K. M. Javed, M. M. Mahboob, "Performance Of A LPG Run SI Engine For Small Scale Power Generation", *Proc.*, 4th International Conference on Mechanical Engineering, vol. 2, pp. 97-102, 2001.
- [18] G. T. Hashem, M. F. A. Dawody, I. Sarris, "The Characteristics Of Gasoline Engines With The Use Of LPG: An Experimental And Numerical Study", *International Journal of Thermofluids*, vol. 18, (5), pp. 100316, Feb 2023.
- [19] S. M. Thompson, G. Robertson, R. Myers, A. Schütze, A. "Liquefied petroleum gas "Handbook of Fuels: Energy Sources for Transportation, pp101-117, 2021.
- [20] M. F. A. Dawody, S. K. Bhatti, "Optimization Strategies To Reduce The Biodiesel Noxeffect In Diesel Engine With Experimental Verification". *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 68, pp. 96-104, April 2013.
- [21] B. Erkus, A. Surmen, M. I. Karamangil, R. Arslan, c. Kaplan, "The Effect Of Ignition Timing On Performance Of LPG Injected SI Engine, "Energy Educ., Sci. Technol, vol. 28 (2), pp. 889-896, 2012.