

Journal of Technomaterial Physics

Journal homepage: https://talenta.usu.ac.id/JoTP

Enhancement of the Sensing Properties of Chitosan Films as an Acetone Gas Sensor with the Addition of Tin Oxide $(SnO₂)$

Tulus Ikhsan Nasution* and Novia Syafriani Lubis

Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Jalan Bioteknologi No.1 Kampus USU, Medan, 20155, North Sumatra, Indonesia *Corresponding Author: tulusikhsan@usu.ac.id

Article history: Received 15 October 2021

Revised 17 August 2024 Accepted 24 August 2024
Available online 30 August 2024

E-ISSN: 2656-0755 P-ISSN: 2656-0747

How to cite:

T. I. Nasution and N. S. Lubis, "Enhancement of the Sensing Properties of Chitosan Films as an Acetone Gas Sensor with the Journal of Technomaterial Physics, vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 69- 10.32734/jotp.v6i2.7408.

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Available online 30 August 2024 fabricated as a film using the electrodeposition method. The sensing properties of In this study, the sensing properties of the chitosan film sensor were successfully improved by adding tin oxide $(SnO₂)$. The addition of $SnO₂$ concentration to the chitosan solution was 0.01% , 0.05% , 0.1% , and 0.5% (w/v). The sensor is chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ film sensors were exposed to acetone gas with concentrations of 0.5; 1; 1.5; 2; 2.5; and 3 ppm. The testing results show that chitosan film's response and repeatability properties produced the highest output voltage of 514 mV at 1.5 ppm, while the lowest output voltage value was 499.72 at 0.5 ppm. The addition of $SnO₂$ with the highest concentration of 0.5% increased the response and repeatability properties in the form of the highest output voltage to 569.34 mV at 3 ppm, while the $SnO₂$ concentration of 0.01% resulted in the lowest sensor output voltage of 504.84 mV at 0.5 ppm. The reproducibility of chitosan Addition of Tin Oxide (SnO_2) ," film became better due to the addition of SnO_2 , which resulted in a low STDEV value of 0.005 compared to that of chitosan film without the addition of $SnO₂$, namely 0.156. The sensitivity of the chitosan film added by $SnO₂$ increased, indicated by the increase in the slope value of 17.142 compared to the chitosan film 78, Aug. 2024, doi: without the addition of $SnO₂$, which was 1.82. The SEM characterization showed that adding $SnO₂$ increased the number of pores formed on the film, which strengthened the ability to absorb acetone. This is also evidenced by the FTIR results, which show the presence of $SnO₂$ in the peak range of the wavenumbers from 677-717 cm⁻¹. Therefore, the chitosan film sensor with the addition of $SnO₂$ concentration showed better-sensing properties than chitosan film, which could be applied as an acetone gas sensor.

Keywords: Acetone, Chitosan Film, Electrodeposition, SnO₂

ABSTRAK

Dalam penelitian ini, sifat-sifat penginderaan sensor film kitosan telah berhasil ditingkatkan dengan menambahkan bahan Tin Oxide (SnO2). Konsentrasi penambahan SnO₂ pada larutan kitosan yaitu 0,01%, 0,05% 0,1% dan 0,5% (w/v). Sensor difabrikasi dalam bentuk film menggunakan metode elektrodeposisi. Pengujian sifat-sifat penginderaan sensor film kitosan dan kitosan-SnO₂ dilakukan dengan mengekspos gas aseton dengan konsentarsi 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5 dan 3 ppm. Hasil pengujian sifat respon dan pengulangan menunjukkan film kitosan menghasilkan tegangan keluaran tertinggi sebesar 514 mV pada 1,5 ppm sedangkan nilai tegangan keluaran terendah sebesar 499,72 pada 0,5 ppm. Penambahan SnO₂ dengan konsentrasi tertinggi yaitu 0,5% meningkatkan sifat respon dan pengulangan berupa tegangan keluaran tertinggi menjadi 569,34 mV pada 3 ppm sedangkan konsentrasi SnO₂ 0,01% menghasilkan tegangan keluaran sensor terendah sebesar 504,84 mV pada 0,5 ppm. Sifat respodusibilitas film kitosan menjadi lebih baik akibat penambahan SnO₂ dengan menghasilkan nilai % STDEV yang rendah yaitu 0,005 dibandingkan film kitosan tanpa penambahan SnO₂ yaitu 0,156. Sifat sensitivitas film kitosan yang ditambahkan SnO₂ mengalami peningkatan yang ditandai dengan meningkatnya nilai slope sebesar 17,142 dibandingkan film kitosan tanpa penambahan $SnO₂$ yaitu 1,82. Hasil

karakterisasi SEM menunjukkan penambahan SnO₂ meningkatkan banyaknya pori yang terbentuk pada film yang memperkuat kemampuan dalam menyerap aseton. Hal ini juga dibuktikan oleh hasil FTIR yang menunjukkan keberadaan SnO₂ pada rentang puncak pita serapan 677-717 cm⁻¹. Oleh karena itu, sensor film kitosan dengan konsentrasi penambahan $SnO₂$ menunjukkan sifat-sifat penginderaan yang lebih baik dibandingkan film kitosan sehingga memiliki potensi untuk diaplikasikan sebagai sensor gas aseton.

Kata kunci: Aseton, Elektrodeposisi, Film Kitosan, SnO₂

1. Introduction

Diabetes is a chronic disease that has become a significant health issue [1]. A person can develop diabetes due to a lack of insulin production or improper use of insulin in the body. Diabetes mellitus is not a disease that can be cured but can only be controlled to prevent worsening conditions. Therefore, prevention and early detection of diabetes mellitus are appropriate and necessary steps to reduce the high prevalence of diabetes.

One of the volatile organic compounds (VOCs), acetone, has been recognized as a significant biomarker of diabetes mellitus, and acetone detection can enhance diagnostic accuracy [2],[3]. A person is indicated to have diabetes when the concentration of acetone gas exhaled through breath exceeds 1.8 ppm, which is associated with normal glucose metabolism and carbohydrate digestion [2].

Acetone sensors have been developed using various materials and forms with relatively good performance. One of the natural alternative materials used to detect acetone gas is chitosan [4]. Chitosan is a biopolymer widely used in biosensor applications due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability, non-toxicity, non-immunogenicity, excellent film-forming ability with good adhesion, strong mechanical properties, higher permeability, and cost-effectiveness [5]. Chitosan has functional groups such as hydroxyl (OH) and amine (NH₂), which are essential in reacting with acetone. However, previous studies were conducted using voltage input, and the performance of chitosan as an acetone sensor still exhibited a low response range and decreasing repeatability, necessitating improvement.

To enhance the sensing properties such as response, repeatability, reproducibility, and sensitivity of the chitosan film sensor for acetone gas at low concentration ranges, the addition of metal oxide material, namely tin oxide (SnO₂), can be employed. Tin oxide (SnO₂) is a semiconductor material with an optical band gap in the range of 3.40 - 4.75 eV, an isoelectric point (IEP \sim 5.0), and higher conductivity compared to $TiO₂$ and $SiO₂$, and has been applied in microelectronics, optoelectronics, solar cells, and gas sensors [6].

2. Material and Methods

2.1.Materials

Chitosan, with a deacetylation degree of 85%, is produced by Sigma Aldrich. Tin oxide powder with a molecular weight of 150.71 is produced by Fluka Chemical. Glacial acetic acid 100%, distilled water, and acetone 98% are made by EMD Millipore.

2.2. Fabrication of Chitosan and Chitosan-SnO, Films

The chitosan solution was prepared by dissolving 1.75 g of chitosan in 100 mL of 2% (v/v) acetic acid. The chitosan solution was stirred using a magnetic stirrer at 300 rpm for 24 hours at room temperature. For preparing the chitosan-SnO₂ solution, a 1.75% (w/v) chitosan solution was first prepared and stirred for 2 hours. Then, $SnO₂$ powder was added with varying masses of 0.01 g, 0.05 g, 0.1 g, and 0.5 g for concentrations of 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5% (w/v) respectively. The mixture was then stirred using a magnetic stirrer at 300 rpm for 24 hours at room temperature, followed by sonication of the chitosan- $SnO₂$ solution for 4 hours [7].

The fabrication of chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ films was then carried out using an electrodeposition process. The electrodeposition process involved immersing two substrates into a container filled with an electrolyte solution, which in this study was the chitosan solution and the chitosan-SnO₂ solution. The coating process requires a voltage source to be applied to the anode and cathode. The cations in the solution moved toward the cathode, while the anions moved toward the anode [8]. After deposition, the films were dried in a vacuum oven at 105°C for 30 minutes.

2.3.Characterization and Testing of the Film

The fabrication resulted in chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ film sensors with concentrations of 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5%. In the next stage, each fabricated sensor sample will be characterized using SEM to reveal the surface morphology of the films and FTIR to analyze the functional groups of the formed films.

The sensing properties of the films were tested by placing the chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ films at each concentration variation in a test chamber. In this test, the chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ film sensors were connected to two electrodes: a positive electrode and a negative electrode. These electrodes were then attached to an Arduino Uno-based electronic data acquisition system. The test data was displayed on a computer screen using PLX-DAQ software. The acetone gas used in the testing was derived from liquid acetone, which had been converted into ppm units, and the acetone concentrations varied were 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm, 1.5 ppm, 2 ppm, 2.5 ppm, and 3 ppm.

The testing was conducted by exposing the acetone gas for 3 minutes in the test chamber, as illustrated in Figure 1 (a). For repeatability testing, the sensor must be recovered to restore its initial value by exposing it to dry air from silica gel for 2 to 3 minutes. This was done to equalize the initial values of each composition variation, allowing the differences in response values from the same starting point to be observed. This process is illustrated in Figure 1 (b). The sensing properties tested included response, repeatability, reproducibility, and sensitivity.

Figure 1. Illustration of sensor testing against (a) acetone gas and (b) sensor recovery with silica gel.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1.Fabrication Film Results

This electrodeposition technique attracts the tertiary amine group (NH_3^+) , forming a film layer on the opposing substrate (cathode) (Figure 2). Chitosan dissolved in an acidic solution (low pH) results in the protonation of chitosan into a cationic polyelectrolyte:

$$
CHIT-NH_2-OH + H_3O^+ \to CHIT-NH_3^+-OH + H_2O
$$
\n⁽¹⁾

When $SnO₂$ is added, the resulting reaction forms intermolecular hydrogen bonds between $SnO₂$ and the hydroxyl group (OH):

$$
CHIT-NH3+-OH+H2O + SnO2 \rightarrow CHIT-NH3+-OH--- SnO2 + H2O
$$
 (2)

When the electrodes (anode and cathode) are immersed in the chitosan solution and an electric current is applied, it produces negative hydroxyl ions:

$$
H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-
$$
 (3)

These negative hydroxyl ions (OH) are distributed and increase the pH on the electrode surface. The increased pH causes the amine group to deprotonate, resulting in chitosan adhering to the cathode surface and forming a chitosan film layer:

$$
\text{CHIT-NH}_3^+\text{-} \text{OH-- }-\text{SnO}_2 + \text{OH-- }+\text{Chit-NH}_2 - \text{OH-- }-\text{SnO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
$$
 (4)

The $SnO₂$ in the chitosan solution is also deposited as a film on the substrate due to the hydrogen bonds formed between $SnO₂$ and the hydroxyl groups of chitosan.

Figure 2. Electrodeposition process of (a) chitosan and (b) chitosan-SnO₂ films.

3.2.Characterization of Films Results

The SEM results shown in Figure 3 (a) indicate a smooth chitosan film surface with minor aggregation. This surface condition changes after the addition of $SnO₂$, as noted in the presence of white particles on the film surface. This is confirmed by the increase in white particles as the $SnO₂$ concentration in the chitosan solution rises from 0.01% to 0.5%, as shown in Figures 3 (b-e). This is supported by EDX results, which show increased Sn content with atomic percentages of 10.11%, 17.26%, 18.10%, and 20.09% for $SnO₂$ concentrations of 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5%, respectively.

Pore formation is also observed on the film surface as the $SnO₂$ concentration increases. This can be seen in the addition of $SnO₂$ at a concentration of 0.01% in Figure 3 (b), where tiny pores are formed, while at a concentration of 0.5% in Figure 3 (e), the pores are more pronounced and larger. The pore increase is due to electrostatic interactions between chitosan and $SnO₂$ on the film surface [7]. This pore formation is also evidenced by EDX results, which show an increase in oxygen content with atomic percentages of 33.92%, 40.11%, 44.86%, 50.43%, and 51.62% for chitosan films and chitosan with $SnO₂$ concentrations of 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5%, respectively.

The pores formed on the film can help acetone molecules enter and interact with the chitosan amine groups on the film, leading to assumed high sensitivity values. Additionally, larger pores on the film surface can result in more excellent absorption, allowing the film to swell more quickly when exposed to acetone gas, potentially affecting the sensor's repeatability.

Furthermore, it can be observed that the chitosan film with added $SnO₂$ has a wider particle distribution compared to the chitosan film alone. This indicates that the film enhances electron transfer between particles, producing higher response values or output voltage. The SEM characterization images demonstrate the presence of $SnO₂$ in the chitosan film sensor.

Figure 3. Surface morphology characterization results of (a) chitosan film, (b) chitosan-0.01% $SnO₂$, (c) chitosan-0.05% SnO₂, (d) chitosan-0.1% SnO₂, and (e) chitosan-0.5% SnO₂.
Figure 4 shows the FTIR spectra of chitosan and chitosan- SnO₂ films. The FTIR spectrum of the chitosan

film shows O-H and N-H stretching at 3536 cm⁻¹ and 3302 cm⁻¹. The O-H and N-H bending absorption bands are observed at 1439 cm⁻¹ and 1589 cm⁻¹. In addition to these functional groups, C-H and C-N stretching are seen at 2878 cm^{-1} and 1167 cm^{-1} . Peaks at 1126 cm^{-1} and 1043 cm^{-1} indicate C-O-C and C-O stretching absorption bands.

Figure 4. FTIR characterization results of (a) chitosan film, (b) chitosan-0.01% $SnO₂$, (c) chitosan-0.05% $SnO₂$, (d) chitosan-0.1% $SnO₂$, and (e) chitosan-0.5% $SnO₂$.

3.3. Sensor Testing Results

3.3.1. Response and Repeatability Testing

The sensor testing of chitosan films and chitosan films with added $SnO₂$ was conducted by exposing the sensor to acetone gas at concentrations of 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm, 1.5 ppm, 2 ppm, 2.5 ppm, and 3 ppm. As seen in the graph in Figure 5 (a), the chitosan film sensor still shows a low response, which can be observed from the sensor's output voltage when exposed to acetone gas, with values of 499.72 mV, 508.22 mV, 514 mV, 506.09 mV, and 505 mV, respectively. The chitosan sensor also shows a decrease in repeatability with a relatively wide range.

In this study, the chitosan film sensor with added $SnO₂$ showed an increased response as the $SnO₂$ concentration in the chitosan increased. The sensor's ability to distinguish acetone gas at various concentrations also improved. This is because a higher gas concentration leads to more gas interacting with the sensitive material on the sensor surface, as seen in each graph with the increase in $SnO₂$ concentration.

The test results also indicated that a 0.5% SnO₂ concentration is optimal for enhancing the film's response when exposed to acetone gas. The increased response is due to the addition of $SnO₂$, which creates more pores on the film surface, enhancing its absorption capacity for acetone gas. This allows more acetone compounds to interact with the NH groups on the film, as demonstrated in the graph in Figure 5 (e).

Figure 5 also shows the repeatability of each chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ film sensor in detecting acetone gas. The graph indicates that chitosan films with added $SnO₂$ have better repeatability than chitosan films alone. However, in the fifth repetition, the sensors with 0.1% and 0.5% SnO₂ start to show a decline in repeatability. This may be due to physical damage to the film, as the large pores on the surface result in higher absorption, making the film more prone to swelling and reducing the sensor's durability when repeatedly exposed to acetone gas.

Figure 5. Response and repeatability graphs of (a) chitosan film, (b) chitosan-0.01% $SnO₂$, (c) chitosan- 0.05% SnO₂, (d) chitosan-0.1% SnO₂, and (e) chitosan-0.5% SnO₂.

3.3.2. Reproducibility Test Results

The reproducibility testing of the sensor was conducted by preparing five sensors for each concentration, all with the same composition, and then exposing them to acetone gas at concentrations of 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm, 1.5 ppm, 2 ppm, 2.5 ppm, and 3 ppm. The results showed that the reproducibility of the chitosan film sensors with added $SnO₂$ is better than that of the chitosan film sensors, as seen in the graph (Figure 6).

This is evidenced by the fact that when the five chitosan-SnO₂ film sensors were exposed to acetone gas, they produced relatively similar maximum output voltages compared to the maximum output voltages of the chitosan film sensors. Among the various concentrations of chitosan-SnO₂, the $0.5%$ concentration showed the best reproducibility. These results indicate that the electrodeposition method used can fabricate more stable chitosan- $SnO₂$ film sensors.

Figure 6. Reproducibility of chitosan film sensors and chitosan-SnO₂ sensors when exposed to acetone gas at concentrations of (a) 0.5 ppm, (b) 1 ppm, (c) 1.5 ppm, (d) 2 ppm, (e) 2.5 ppm, and (f) 3 ppm.

3.3.3. Sensitivity Test Results

As shown in Figure 7, the addition of $SnO₂$ to the chitosan film has a significant impact on the output of each sensor. The linearity of the measurements improves with increasing $SnO₂$ concentration. This is evidenced by the increasing sensor output voltage as the amount of acetone gas exposure increases. Figure 7 illustrates that the fabricated chitosan and chitosan-SnO₂ films exhibit good linearity, with values of 0.0645, 0.9867, 0.9877, 0.9902, and 0.9910 for the SnO₂ concentrations of 0%, 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5%, respectively.

According to Hejjaji, et al. [9], sensitivity can be calculated based on the slope of the graph that compares the physical quantity given to the sensor output. Figure 7 also shows the sensitivity of the film, as indicated by the slope values of 1.82, 16.525, 17.013, 17.142, and 17.281 for the $SnO₂$ concentrations of 0%, 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.5%, respectively. Based on these results, the chitosan-SnO₂ 0.5% sensor has the best sensitivity and linearity. These values indicate that the addition of $SnO₂$ significantly enhances the sensitivity of the chitosan film.

Figure 7. Sensitivity of chitosan film sensors and chitosan- $SnO₂$ film sensors.

3.4. Sensing Mechanism

Several scientific articles state that the resistance of the sensor is greatly influenced by the chemisorbed oxygen process, which allows the sensor output to be analyzed [4], [10]. In normal air, oxygen molecules are absorbed on the film's surface, trapping free electrons present in the film and forming oxygen species such as O_2 , O , or O_2 [11], [12]. This absorption process is called chemisorbed oxygen, where a low output voltage is generated when normal air reacts with the film surface. The state of oxygen absorption can be described by the following equations:

$$
O_2(gas) \longrightarrow O_2(adsorbed) \tag{5}
$$

$$
O_2(\text{adsorbed}) + e^- \rightarrow O_2 \tag{6}
$$

When the film is exposed to acetone, acetone molecules react with the amine functional groups on the film via hydrogen bonding. From a physical perspective, this interaction occurs due to the difference in polarity between the two compounds, as illustrated in Figure 8. This interaction also causes surface tension that forces electrons out of the oxygen species traps. The released electrons move from the valence band to the conduction band, increasing the sensor's output voltage. Based on this analysis, it is evident that the higher the acetone concentration, the higher the output voltage due to the increasing hydrogen bonding. Conversely, when exposed to normal air, the output voltage decreases compared to when exposed to acetone. This happens because the presence of O_2 again traps the electrons, causing the output voltage to drop.

Figure 8. Illustration of hydrogen bonding formed between amine groups and acetone gas molecules.

4. Conclusion

The enhancement of chitosan film properties as an acetone gas sensor by adding tin oxide $(SnO₂)$ has been successfully achieved. The modified chitosan films showed improved response, repeatability, reproducibility, and sensitivity compared to unmodified chitosan films. The 0.5% SnO₂-chitosan film demonstrated a response of 569.34 mV at 3 ppm acetone, good repeatability, consistent reproducibility, and high sensitivity. Surface morphology analysis indicated that higher SnO₂ concentrations resulted in denser, more porous films, facilitating better electron movement and acetone absorption. FTIR analysis confirmed new absorption peaks linked to $SnO₂$, validating its significant impact on the film's performance. These

findings suggest that $SnO₂$ -enhanced chitosan films have strong potential as acetone gas sensors for diabetes detection due to their ability to detect low acetone concentrations.

References

- [1] US Preventive Services Task Force*. (2008). Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Annals of internal medicine, 148 (11), 846- 854.
- [2] Q. Zhou. et al., "Factors influencing breath analysis results in patients with diabetes mellitus," Journal of Breath Research, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 046012, 2019.
- [3] A. Suea-Ngam, P. Rattanarat, K. Wongravee, and O. Chailapakul, "Droplet-based glucosamine sensor using gold nanoparticles and polyaniline-modified electrode," Talanta, vol. 158, pp. 134-141, 2016.
- [4] T. I. Nasution, I. Nainggolan, S. D. Hutagalung, K. R. Ahmad, and Z. A. Ahmad, "The sensing mechanism and detection of low concentration acetone using chitosan-based sensors," Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 177, pp. 522-528, 2013.
- [5] K. J. Feng, Y. H. Yang, Z. J. Wang, J. H. Jiang, G. L. Shen, and R. Q. Yu, "A nano-porous CeO2/chitosan composite film as the immobilization matrix for colorectal cancer DNA sequenceselective electrochemical biosensor," Talanta, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 561-565, 2006.
- [6] D. Rathee, S. K. Arya, and M. Kumar, "Analysis of TiO 2 for microelectronic applications: Effect of deposition methods on their electrical properties," Frontiers of Optoelectronics in China,vol. 4, pp. 349- 358. 2011.
- [7] A. A. Ansari, A. Kaushik, P. R. Solanki, and B. E. Malhotra, "Electrochemical cholesterol sensor based on tin oxide-chitosan nanobiocomposite film," Electroanalysis: An International Journal Devoted to Fundamental and Practical Aspects of Electroanalysis, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 965-972, 2009.
- [8] T. I. Nasution, R. Asrosa, I. Nainggolan, M. Balyan, R. Indah, and A.Wahyudi, "Sodium tripolyphosphate cross-linked chitosan based sensor for enhacing sensing properties towards acetone," in IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 39, no.1, p.01283, 2018.
- [9] J. Pan, et al., "Enhanced quorum sensing of anode biofilm for better sensing linearity and recovery capability of microbial fuel cell toxicity sensor," Environmental research, vol. 181, p. 108906, 2020.
- [10] Y.-D. Wang, C. L. Ma, X. -D. Sun, and H. -D. Li, "Preparation and characterization of SnO2 nanoparticles with a surfactant-mediated method," Nanotechnology, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 565, 2002.
- [11] D. Shantini, I. Nainggolan, T. I. Nasution, M. N. Derman, R. Mustaffa, and N. Z. Abd Wahab, "Hexanal gas detection using chitosan biopolymer as sensing material at room temperature,"Journal of Sensors, vol. 2016, p. 8539169, 2016.
- [12] K. Tabata, T. Kawabe, Y. Yamaguchi, and Y. Nagasawa,"Chemisorbed oxygen species over the (110) face of SnO2," Catalysis Surveys from Asia, vol. 7, pp. 251-259, 2003.